Cause of Death and Prognosis of Patients With Primary Refractory Disease, and Prognosis of Patients **Reaching PFS24: Descriptive Analysis of POLARIX**

To be presented by Brannon Flores on behalf of the authors

Charles Herbaux,¹ Sascha Dietrich,² Andrew McMillan,³ Catherine Thieblemont,⁴ Eva Gonzalez-Barca,⁵ Antonio Pinto,⁶ Laura Fogliatto,⁷ Matthew Matasar,⁸ Calvin Lee,⁹ Juana Hernandez,¹⁰ Jamie Hirata,⁹ Rodrigo Ho,¹⁰ Aino Launonen,¹⁰ Frédéric Boissard¹⁰

Summary

Here we provide a descriptive analysis of the effects of primary refractory disease or achievement of PFS24 on outcomes of patients with previously untreated DLBCL in the **POLARIX study**

These results show that Pola-R-CHP reduced the risk of primary refractory disease and increased the likelihood of achieving PFS24 compared with R-CHOP in the 1L DLBCL setting

The risk of death was 7.4-fold higher in patients with primary refractory disease than in those with non-primary refractory disease

Pola-R-CHP reduces the risk of a PFS event at **24 months** by **25%** versus R-CHOP

Previously presented at the 2023 International Conference Malignant Lymphoma (ICML) Annual Meeting (June 13–17, 2023, Lugano, Switzerland)

¹Clinical Hematology Department, University of Montpellier, Montpellier, France; ²Department of Internal Medicine, Hematology, Oncology and Rheumatology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ³Center for Clinical Haematology, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, United Kingdom; ⁴Department of Hemato-oncology, University of Paris, Saint-Louis Hospital, Paris, France; ⁵Department of Hematology, Catalan Institute of Oncology, IDIBELL, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ⁶National Cancer Institute, Fondazione G. Pascale, IRCCS, Naples, Italy; ⁷Department of Hematology, Porto Alegre Hospital, Porto Alegre, Brazil; ⁸Division of Blood Disorders, Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA; ⁹Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA; ¹⁰F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Basel, Switzerland

Background

- In the past decade, disease progression has been reported as the main cause of death in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) who were treated with first-line (1L) rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CHOP) and progressed within the first 24 months
- Outcomes in patients with primary refractory disease are particularly poor.¹ Being alive and disease-free at 24 months has been defined as a robust endpoint for disease-related outcomes.²
- Since then, newer treatment options, such as polatuzumab vedotin plus bendamustine and rituximab, chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy (CAR-T), and tafasitamab plus lenalidomide, have been introduced in the relapsed/refractory setting.
- In the POLARIX study (NCT03274492), polatuzumab vedotin in combination with rituximab plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and prednisone (Pola-R-CHP) demonstrated improved progression-free survival (PFS) versus R-CHOP in 1L DLBCL, with a similar safety profile³
- With longer follow-up of 39.7 months, the PFS benefit with Pola-R-CHP versus R-CHOP was sustained.⁴
- Here, we report an ad hoc analysis of data from POLARIX to evaluate the cause of death and prognosis of patients with primary refractory disease and of patients who were progression free at 24 months (PFS24) after 1L treatment.

Methods

- 39.7 months); the methods have previously been described.³
- Causes of death were disease progression, adverse events (AE), or other
- Three patient populations were defined based on response to 1L therapy:
- Patients who were primary refractory (lack of complete response [CR] or relapse within 12 months after treatment completion)^{5,6}
- Patients who were non-primary refractory (CR and no relapse within 12 months after treatment completion)
- Patients who achieved PFS24 (no PFS events during the 24 months after treatment initiation). Time-to-event data were described using Kaplan–Meier curves and cause-specific cumulative incidence functions.
- Event decomposition was performed using a competing risk approach.⁷
- Baseline risk factors for risk of death during study follow up were analyzed using multivariate Cox regression
- There was adjustment for treatment effect, age, sex, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status (ECOG PS), geographic region, International Prognostic Index (IPI) score, bulky disease, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels, median time from diagnosis, Ann Arbor stage, extranodal involvement, cell-of-origin subtype, double-expressor lymphoma status, and double-/triple-hit lymphoma status.

Results: Cause of death

- At data cut-off. 14.7% of patients treated with Pola-R-CHP and 15.8% of patients treated with R-CHOP had died; the main cause of death was disease progression (Table 1).
- After adjustment for treatment effect, age (continuous), sex (male vs female), ECOG PS (2 vs 0–1), and LDH levels (elevated vs normal) were associated with increased risk of death in the POLARIX study.

Table 1. Cause of death in the POLARIX study (safety-evaluable population)

n (%)

Disease progression

Adverse events*

Other causes

DLBCL as a contributing factor

DLBCL not known to be a contributing fac

*The most frequent Grade 5 AEs (by preferred term) were pneumonia (Pola-R-CHP, 4 patients [0.9%]; R-CHOP, 3 patients [0.7%]), death (Pola-R-CHP, 4 patients [0.9%]; R-CHOP, 1 patient [0.2%]), and septic shock (Pola-R-CHP, 0 patients; R-CHOP, 2 patients [0.5%]); All other Grade 5 AEs were reported in no more than one patient per treatment arm.

References

- 1. Crump M, et al. Blood 2017;130:1800-8.
- 2. Maurer MJ, et al. J Clin Oncol 2014:32:1066.
- 3. Tilly H, et al. N Engl J Med 2022;386:351-63. 4. Herrera AF, et al. Blood 2022;140(Suppl 1):1297-300. Oral presentation.
- 5. Locke FL, et al. *N Engl J Med* 2022;386:640–54.
- 6. Bishop MR, et al. N Engl J Med 2022;386:629–39. 7. Gray RJ. Ann Stat 1988;16:1141-54.

• The data analyzed here are from the POLARIX study (data cut-off June 15, 2022; median follow up:

	Pola-R-CHP (n=435)	R-CHOP (n=438)
	34 (7.8)	35 (8.0)
	13 (3.0)	11 (2.5)
ctor	17 (3.9) 4 (0.9) 13 (3.0)	23 (5.3) 5 (1.1) 18 (4.1)

Results: Effect of primary refractory disease on OS

- Based on a landmark analysis, risk of death was 7.4-fold higher in patients with primary refractory disease than in those with non-primary refractory disease (**Figure 1**)
- Overall survival (OS) hazard ratio (HR): 0.14; 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.07–0.25.

Figure 1. A comparison of OS in patients with primary refractory and non-primary refractory disease*



Data were analyzed from the end of treatment, plus 12 months, i.e., 17 months in total. *Before 17 months, 41 patients had died in the Pola-R-CHP treatment arm and 37 patients had died in the R-CHOP treatment arm.

Results: Subsequent therapy according to refractory status

- At data cut-off, 159 (73.6%) and 67 (11.7%) patients had received a new anti-lymphoma therapy (NALT) in the primary refractory and non-primary refractory populations, respectively (**Table 2**)
- Patients with primary refractory disease received more intensive regimens such as stem cell transplant (SCT; 19.0%) or CAR-T (10.2%)
- Patients in the primary refractory population who did not receive a NALT mostly achieved a partial response at the end of treatment.

Table 2. Type of NALT received in POLARIX according to primary refractory status

n (%)	Primary refractory n=216	Non-primary refi n=573
Any	159 (73.6)	67 (11.7)
Systemic therapy	133 (61.6)	39 (6.8)
CAR-T	22 (10.2)	3 (0.5)
SCT	41 (19.0)	8 (1.4)
Radiotherapy	59 (27.3)	34 (5.9)
Unplanned	53 (24.5)	12 (2.1)
Planned	6 (2.8)	22 (3.8)

Results: 1L treatment effect on primary refractory disease

The cumulative incidence of refractory disease was 22.7% (95% CI: 18.7–26.8) with Pola-R-CHP and 29.7% (95% CI: 25.2–34.1) with R-CHOP (subdistribution HR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.58–0.99; Gray's test for equality: p=0.0376; **Figure 2**).

Figure 2. Cumulative incidence of primary refractory disease according to 1L treatment received



Presented at the 2023 National Community Oncology Dispensing Association (NCODA) International Fall Summit | 25–27 October, 2023

Acknowledgments

The POLARIX study (NCT03274492) was sponsored by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd and Genentech, Inc. Third-party editorial assistance, under the direction of the authors, was provided by Anya Horton, BSc, of Ashfield MedComms, an Inizio company, and was funded by F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.

Disclosures

CH: Honoraria (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Janssen-Cilag, AbbVie, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); SD: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Gilead Sciences, Kite); AM: Honoraria (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); SD: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Gilead Sciences, Kite); AM: Honoraria (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); SD: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Gilead Sciences, Kite); AM: Honoraria (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); SD: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Gilead Sciences, Kite); AM: Honoraria (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); SD: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); SD: Consultant Amgen, Prosthetics, Takeda), travel grants (Takeda); CT: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Incyte, Kyte/Gilead Sciences, Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Takeda), travel grants (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Incyte, Kyte/Gilead Sciences, Novartis, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Takeda); EGB: Consultant or advisory role (Janssen, AbbVie, Kiowa, EUSA Pharma, Incyte, Eli Lilly, BeiGene, Novartis), other renumeration (Janssen, AbbVie, Takeda, Kiowa, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, EUSA Pharma, Incyte, AstraZeneca), travel grants (Janssen, EUSA Pharma); AP: Consultant or advisory role (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, MSD, Incyte), honoraria (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Incyte, MSD, Servier Affaires Médicales, Bristol-Myers Squibb/Celgene), other remuneration (Incyte, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); LF: no conflicts of interest to disclose; MM: Consultant or advisory role (ADC Therapeutics, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Epizyme, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Genentech, Inc., IMV Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Karyopharm, Merck, MEI Pharma, Celgene, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, TG Therapeutics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, Teva, Bayer), stock ownership (Merck), honoraria (ADC Therapeutics, Seattle Genetics, Teva, Bayer), s Bayer, Daiichi Sankyo, Epizyme, IMV Therapeutics, Janssen, MEI Pharma, Pharmacyclics, Genentech, Inc., F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Seattle Genetics), research funding (AstraZeneca, Bayer, Genentech, Inc., IGM Biosciences, Janssen, Pharmacyclics, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Seattle Genetics); CL: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); JHe: Employment or leadership positio RH: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd); FB: Employment or leadership position (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd), stock ownership (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd), travel grants (F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd);

Results: 1L treatment effect in patients with non-primary refractory disease

- Patients with non-primary refractory disease had favorable outcomes, regardless of the 1L treatment received (Figure 3).
- Overall, 74.2% and 67.9% of patients were non-primary refractory in the Pola-R-CHP and R-CHOP treatment arms, respectively.



Data were analyzed from the end of treatment, plus 12 months, i.e., 17 months in total

Results: Effect of achievement of PFS24 on efficacy

- Overall, 77.0% of patients were progression free at 24 months with Pola-R-CHP versus 70.4% with R-CHOP (stratified HR: 0.75; 95% CI: 0.57–0.98; Figure 4).
- Eighteen months after achieving PFS24:
- 90.3% and 88.7% of patients in the Pola-R-CHP and R-CHOP arms, respectively, were progression free – OS rates were 98.3% and 98.9% with Pola-R-CHP and R-CHOP, respectively.

Figure 4. PFS and OS landmark analysis at 24 months after treatment initiation in patients achieving PFS24



y refractory

40

0

Conclusions

Despite therapeutic improvements in the relapsed/refractory DLBCL setting, progression remained the main cause of death in patients with previously untreated DLBCL in the POLARIX study

- Identification of patients with a high risk of death remains a challenge
- Patients with primary refractory disease still have a high unmet medical need
- Patients with non-primary refractory disease and those who reached PFS24 appear to show similarly excellent outcomes.
- In 1L DLBCL, Pola-R-CHP reduced the risk of refractory disease and increased the likelihood of achieving PFS24 compared with R-CHOP.
- Together, these results reinforce the value of Pola-R-CHP in 1L DLBCL.