

Transforming Oncology Care Through Medically Integrated Collaboration 2025 NCODA INTERNATIONAL SPRING FORUM

How to Claim Your CE Credit

For CE credit, please visit:

https://www.lecturepanda.com/r/2025NCODASpringForum

- Credit requirements must be completed within 60 days of the program activity date.
- Upon completion, credit will be transmitted electronically to ACPE.
- All transmitted credit will be viewable in your CPE Monitor profile within 24 hours.
- CE codes will be displayed at the end of the presentation and will not be redistributed after this presentation.





Cracking the Code: Mastering Clinical Trial Design

Lisa Janssen Carlson, PharmD, BCOP, DPLA

Manager, Investigational Drug Services University of California, San Francisco UCSF Health System



OBJECTIVES

- 1. Explain the phases of clinical trials, basics of trial design, and emerging trends.
- 2. Describe the overall design of a basket trial, umbrella trial, and platform trial.
- 3. Compare traditional clinical trial designs with new approaches like Master Trials in terms of efficiency, flexibility, and potential to accelerate drug development in oncology.
- 4. Discuss strategies for overcoming challenges faced when designing clinical trials in precision medicine, including issues related to patient selection, biomarkers, and trial scalability, while recognizing potential benefits in terms of targeted therapies and improved patient outcomes.
- 5. Identify the causes for underrepresentation of certain groups (e.g., racial minorities, elderly, low socioeconomic status) in clinical trials, including strategies to promote more inclusive and equitable enrollment practices.
- 6. Define strategies for healthcare team to impact enrollment and execution of clinical trials, including direct patient care activities.



DISCLOSURES

The following relevant financial relationships from the past 24 months have been identified and disclosed for the following faculty and reviewer of this CE activity:

• Lisa Janssen Carlson, PharmD, BCOP, DPLA

• Stockholder for Astra Zeneca, Regeneron, and Johnson & Johnson

- Chris Elder, PharmD, BCOP
 - Has served as an advisory board member for which honorarium was received for the following: Pfizer, Pharmacosmos, Eisai, Sanofi, Mirati, Novartis

There are no relevant financial relationships to disclose for the following planners of this CE activity:

- Tahsin Imam, PharmD
- Daisy Doan, PharmD



Key Topics

Clinical Trial Phases & Designs

• Emerging Trends:

 Decentralized clinical trials, real-world evidence, and the impact of biomarkers on precision medicine

Complex Trial Designs:

• Master Trials: basket, umbrella, and platform trials

Accelerated Approvals & 505(b)(2) Pathway – Oncology Focus

Ethical Considerations:

Address equity and access in enrollment; evaluate barriers

Strategies for Addressing Disparities:
 o Highlight actionable impacts from care teams







Clinical Trials: Back to Basics - Phases





Clinical Trials: Basics of Design

Systematic Review Randomized Control Trials

Cohort Studies

Case Control Studies

Case Report

Narrative Review, Expert Opinion, Editorials

In vitro Studies: Animal and Laboratory Studies

Strength of Evidence Low



Historical Clinical Trial Design

Patient-centered Medicine

2018 FDA guidance on Basket & Umbrella Trials; Platform Trials

-2015 Precision Medicine Initiative

2011 Precision Medicine

 2003 Human Genome Project (HGP) completed



• Park J et al. Systematic review of basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials: a landscape analysis of master protocols. Trials. 2019 Sep 18;20:572. Doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1.

• Duan XP et al. New clinical trial design in precision medicine: discovery, development and direction. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. DOI: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0

Randomized Control Trial: "one size fits all"

Emerging Trends

Biomarker Driven Enrollment

Decentralized Clinical Trials

Remote Participation Use of Real-World Evidence



Biomarker Driven Enrollment

 Historically clinical trials underestimated significant heterogeneity of disease

Master trials

- Patient-centered trials that allow customization to specific biomarkers
- Genomic alternations can significantly impact care





Biomarker Driven Enrollment

- Molecular screening protocols and enrollment
 Fixed protocol at fixed time limits efficiency
 - **Basket trials** use same molecular targeted drug focused on common genetic alteration or specific biomarker
 - Improved enrollment
 - FDA: effective pathway for rare antitumor therapy
 - Umbrella trials with certain disease stratified into subgroups with various clinical features and molecular alterations

Adaptable and responsive to rapid development of precision medicine

Platform trials



QUESTION 1

What clinical trial model does your institution currently use?

- a. Only centralized or traditional model
- b. A hybrid model (decentralized model) where we have a main site, but also have clinical trials in surrounding clinics
- c. A shared care model where our clinical trials are primarily done in the community
- d. What is a clinical trial model?
- e. None of the above



Decentralized Clinical Trials (DCT)

- FDA indicates: "Some or all of trialrelated activities occur at location other than traditional clinical trial sites"
- Location of clinical trial not at main or centralized site: Hybrid vs.
 Shared care model





Food and Drug Administration. Conducting clinical trials with decentralized elements: Guidance for industry, investigators, and other interested parties. September 2024. <Accessed April 6, 2025>. https://www.fda.gov/media/167696/download

Harvey RD, et al. Cancer. Vol 130, Issue 8 p. 1193-1203. https://acsjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cncr.35145

Decentralized Clinical Trials (DCT)

Improved access may help increase the following:





Food and Drug Administration. Conducting clinical trials with decentralized elements: Guidance for industry, investigators, and other interested parties. September 2024. <Accessed April 6, 2025>. https://www.fda.gov/media/167696/download

Harvey RD, et al. Cancer. Vol 130, Issue 8 p. 1193-1203. https://acsjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cncr.35145

Hybrid vs. Shared Care Model

Hybrid Model

Partnerships and local Principal Investigator (PI) or sub-Investigator

Shared Care Model

No formal partnerships or research infrastructure; PI support and remote oversight

Overlap

- Local participation
- Trial-related routing services
 performed locally
- Community practice
- Greater access to trials beyond primary service areas
 - Increase diversity and participation



Remote Participation





- Bodicoat, et al. Trials. 2021;22(1):880. DOI: 10.1186/s13063-021-05849-7
- Sine S et al. Ther Innov Regul Sci 2021;55(5):1059-65. DOI: 10.1007/s43441-021-00306-8
- Pennell NA, et al. J Clin Onc 2021; 39(2):155-169. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.02953

Remote Participation

 ASCO 2019 Survey

 Evaluating opinions and perceptions of clinical research (n=12,451)

Telehealth Encounters Travel (#1)

Visit Length

Diagnostic Tests

Barriers



• Bodicoat, et al. Trials. 2021;22(1):880. DOI: 10.1186/s13063-021-05849-7

• Sine S et al. Ther Innov Regul Sci 2021;55(5):1059-65. DOI: 10.1007/s43441-021-00306-8

Pennell NA, et al. J Clin Onc 2021; 39(2):155-169. doi: 10.1200/JCO.20.02953

Use of Real-World Evidence

- Utilization of mutation subtypes of enrolled patients must be a balanced approach
 Overly strict screening → slow enrollment
 Excessively broad filter → reduce overall effective of treatment
- Many clinical trial have begun enrolling patients based on genetic phenotype or developing standardized biomarker-guided treatment



Duan ZP et al. Signal Transduction Target Therapy. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0.

Liu R, et al. Nature. 2021 April ; 592(7855): 629–633. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03430-5.

Microsoft Stock Icon

Use of Real-World Evidence

- Utilization of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has demonstrated strategies to allow more patients to benefit from treatment
 Broadening restrictive criteria
 - Using data-driven methodology for evaluating eligibility criteria
 Maintaining safeguards for patient safety
- Enhancing inclusivity may result in more diverse populations better representing real-world populations impacted by disease

	Women	Male	Age >75	White	Black	Other Races/Missing
Original Trial Criteria	44.8%	55.2%	28.3%	70%	9.1%	20.9%
Data-driven Criteria	46.9%	53.1%	28.9%	70.2%	9.4%	20.4%



• Duan ZP et al. Signal Transduction Target Therapy. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0.

Liu R, et al. Nature. 2021 April ; 592(7855): 629–633. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-03430-5.

Clinical Trial Designs

Basket Master Protocols Umbrella Platform

Single overarching design Test >1 hypothesis within a protocol Biomarker enrichment design

> Improve efficiency through standardization



• Park J, et al. Trials. 2019 Sep 18;20:572. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1 .



GA = Genetic Alteration BM = Biomarker



Umbrella Trial





Duan ZP et al. Signal Transduction Target Therapy. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. doi: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0.

Platform Trial: Multi-arm Multi-Stage: MAMS



- Several interventions vs common control group
- Adaptions rule (prespecified):
 Add or drop interventions during trial



Platform Trial: I-SPY

- Neoadjuvant and personalized adaptive clinical trial for locally advanced breast cancer
 - Newly diagnosed stage 2 or 3 invasive breast cancer
- Improved treatment regimens for specific breast cancer subtypes
 Novel drugs in combo with standard chemo
- UCSF consortium study



QUESTION 2

Master trials include basket, umbrella and platform designs: which match correctly reflects the clinical trial design?

a. Platform trials – adaptable, continuous screening
b. Umbrella trials – multiple diseases, one targets
c. Basket trial – multiple targets, one disease
d. Master protocols – evaluates one hypothesis at a time



QUESTION 2

Master trials include basket, umbrella and platform designs: which match correctly reflects the clinical trial design?

- a. Platform trials adaptable, continuous screening
- b. Umbrella trials multiple diseases, one targets
- c. Basket trial multiple targets, one disease
- d. Master protocols evaluates one hypothesis at a time



Comparing Trial Designs

	Traditional	Master Protocol			
	Traditional	Basket	Umbrella	Platform	
Efficiency	Single disease state	Multiple disease states evaluated at same time	Single disease Multiple populations or targets	Multiple treatments Adapts at interim analysis, continuous screening	
Flexibility	Arms are set once study initiated	Evaluation of treatment for multiple diseases	Looking at variety of treatments Patient factors	Add or remove arm	
Approval Process	Years to get results for single patient population for specific disease state	Test more than one hypothesis within a protocol Accelerates drug development, especially for oncology			



Master Trial Design - Barriers

Master Trial	Barriers
Basket Trial	 Heterogeneity of disease complicates efficacy analysis Statistical design challenges in pooling or interpreting diverse data Regulatory hesitancy to approve generalized findings Weak or unclear biological rationale for cross- indication treatment



Park J et al. Systematic review of basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials: a landscape analysis of master protocols. Trials. 2019 Sep 18;20:572. Doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1. Duan XP et al. New clinical trial design in precision medicine: discovery, development and direction. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. DOI: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0

Master Trial Design - Barriers

Master Trial	Barriers
Umbrella Trial	 Requires validated biomarkers and rapid testing methods Screening large numbers of patients is time-consuming and costly Complex logistics to manage multiple interventions concurrently Difficult to adapt quickly when standards of care evolve



Park J et al. Systematic review of basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials: a landscape analysis of master protocols. Trials. 2019 Sep 18;20:572. Doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1. Duan XP et al. New clinical trial design in precision medicine: discovery, development and direction. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. DOI: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0

Master Trial Design - Barriers

Master Trial	Barriers
Platform Trial	 High operational and statistical complexity Requires advanced infrastructure and ongoing data monitoring Long-term funding and coordination from multiple sponsors Frequent protocol amendments may pose regulatory delays



Park J et al. Systematic review of basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials: a landscape analysis of master protocols. Trials. 2019 Sep 18;20:572. Doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1. Duan XP et al. New clinical trial design in precision medicine: discovery, development and direction. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. DOI: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0

Accelerated Approvals & New Drug Application 505(b)(2) Pathway



Accelerated Drug Approvals

- Master trials allow for more efficient patient recruitment
 Platform: adaptive randomization, continuous enrollment
- Faster evidence generation using shared infrastructure
 Basket: rapid identification of responsive subgroup across different cancer types, parallel data collection
 - Umbrella: replace multiple single-arm studies



Accelerated Drug Approvals

- Potential for early conditional approval (Platform)
 Use of surrogate endpoints
 - Response rate
 - Progression-free survival
- Facilitates precision medicine approvals where large randomized control trials are not feasible



Duan XP et al. New clinical trial design in precision medicine: discovery, development and direction. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024 Mar 4;9(1):57. DOI: 10.1038/s41392-024-01760-0

505(b)(2) Pathway: "Hybrid" New Drug Application (NDA)

- NDA that contains full safety and effectiveness reports, but some of the information comes from studies not conducted by or for the applicant
 o Part original, part referenced
- Faster, lower cost verses full NDA
- 3-year market exclusivity



U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Applications Covered by Section 505(b)(2). Published April 27, 2020. https://www.fda.gov/regulatory-information/search-fda-guidance-documents/applications-covered-section-505b2. Accessed April 6, 2025.

505(b)(2) Pathway: "Hybrid" New Drug Application (NDA)

Used for the following:

- New dosage form, route or strength
- New combination of approved drugs
- Already approved drug with a new indication
- Uses a new formulation or delivery method
- Has undergone a change in active ingredient salt or ester
- Seeks repurposing of an existing drug (e.g., for a different condition)



FDA Approval Pathways

Feature	505(b)(1) NDA	505(b)(2) NDA	505(j) *ANDA (Generic) (*abbreviated)
Data required	Full new studies	Partial new, partial existing	No new data
Reliance on other sources	No	Yes	Yes (already approved Reference Listed Drug (RLD))
Clinical trials needed	Yes	Sometimes	No (bioequivalence only)
Use cases	New molecular entities	Reformulations, new indications, delivery methods	Generics



QUESTION 3

Which statement is false about the FDA New Drug Application (NDA) process 505(b)(2)?

- a. Allows for an accelerated approval
- b. Can utilize partial data (new or existing) for approval
- c. Always requires clinical trials
- d. Referred to as a "hybrid" NDA
- e. Can be used for new combination of approved drugs



QUESTION 3

Which statement is false about the FDA New Drug Application (NDA) process 505(b)(2)?

- a. Allows for an accelerated approval
- b. Can utilize partial data (new or existing) for approval
- c. Always requires clinical trials
- d. Referred to as a "hybrid" NDA
- e. Can be used for new combination of approved drugs



Ethical Considerations & Strategies for Addressing Disparities In Clinical Trials







Obermeyer Z, et al. Science 25 Oct 2019 Vol 366, Issue 6464 pp. 447-453 DOI: 10.1126/science.aax2342

- 1996: Pfizer Trovan Trial in Nigeria

 Meningitis epidemic 109,580 cases & 11,717 deaths
 - Bacterial, virulent in children
 - Treatment chloramphenicol

 Pfizer conducted a drug trial using Trovan on children without proper informed consent

- n=200 age 3 months to 18 years old
- Multiple deaths (11) and adverse effects including paralysis and liver failure



• Obermeyer Z, et al. Science 25 Oct 2019 Vol 366, Issue 6464 pp. 447-453 DOI: 10.1126/science.aax2342

- 2000s–Present: Underrepresentation in Clinical Trials

 Minorities, women, and low-socioeconomic status individuals
 remain underrepresented in many trials, reducing trust and
 relevance of results.
 - 2001 National Institute of Health amended policy and guidelines related to inclusion of women and minorities in trials
 - AI tools revealed racial biases in predicting patient risk and treatment prioritization, undermines data-driven trials and precision medicine



• Obermeyer Z, et al. Science 25 Oct 2019 Vol 366, Issue 6464 pp. 447-453 DOI: 10.1126/science.aax2342

• Boden-Albala Confronting legacies of underrepresentation in clinical trials: The case for greater diversity in researchNeuron 110, March 2, 2022.

2020: COVID-19 Vaccine Trials

 Speed of vaccine development led to public skepticism, especially among communities with historical trauma
 Early efforts lacked transparency on inclusion and safety

 Moving forward: should there be human challenge trials (HCT)

 In the UK: COVID-19 vaccine or control (placebo, prior infection or another vaccine) – then expose to live SARS-CoV-2



• Obermeyer Z, et al. Science 25 Oct 2019 Vol 366, Issue 6464 pp. 447-453 DOI: 10.1126/science.aax2342

Underrepresentation of Certain Groups





Ethical Considerations for Oncology

- Accessibility to high quality and safe care should be equitable for all including diverse and underserved populations
- Affordable for patient based on design
- Eligibility criteria not too restrictive & reflects intended population
- Leverage technology
- Overcoming barriers linked to social determinants of health
 - Religion, socioeconomic status, race, healthcare access, etc.



Promoting Health Equitability

- Designing inclusive trials that consider cultural, social and economic factors
 - Eligibility criteria design
 - Breaking down barriers:
 - Utilization of local labs, technology (video visits/telehealth)
- Healthcare Practitioners
 - Advocate for patients
 - $\ensuremath{\circ}$ Implement strategies for removing barriers
 - Centralization of pharmacy services to decentralize clinical trials



Community Practice

- Patient focused approach

 Actively evaluating appropriate therapeutic options
 Genetic and molecular profiles
- To address disparities and access gaps in enrollment enhance engagement and collaboration
- Increase site diversity
 - Ensuring racially and ethnically diverse staff at sites
 Engaging and supporting healthcare professionals from all backgrounds to serve as principal investigators
 Create networks and communities to develop skills and expertise



Overcoming Barriers in Clinical Trials



Technology

Trial Scalability



Actionable Ways to Promote Enrollment

- Multidisciplinary teams can educate about trials and empower patients with cancer to explore clinical trial as option
- Inclusivity
 - Flexible schedules, minimize patient burden, patient-centric trial design, utilize technology (telemedicine)
- Utilization of pharmacogenomic capabilities in the electronic medical record, work with analytics/informatics



Enhancing Enrollment



Bodicoat, et al. Trials. 2021;22(1):880. DOI: 10.1186/s13063-021-05849-7.

Utilization of Healthcare Provider Expertise

- Identify barriers within own practice setting
- Monitor safety and adverse drug effects across different patient populations
- Focus on clinical strategies to support patient safety and education



CMR = concomitant medication review



QUESTION 4

What strategies can be implemented to promote enrollment and ensure health equitability in clinical trials?

- a. Utilization of technology
- b. Community involvement and engagement
- c. Utilization of Master Trial Design
- d. Strategies for inclusivity, including eligibility criteria
- e. All of the above



QUESTION 4

What strategies can be implemented to promote enrollment and ensure health equitability in clinical trials?

- a. Utilization of technology
- b. Community involvement and engagement
- c. Utilization of Master Trial Design
- d. Strategies for inclusivity, including eligibility criteria

e. All of the above



SUMMARY

- Master Trial Designs:
 - Enhance reliability and validity through integration of precision medicine
 - Accelerate drug approval processes
 - Individualized approach utilizing biomarkers leads to more robust data and better treatment options.
- DCTs expand access and potentially increase enrollment including more diverse patient populations.
- Incorporating real-world evidence and intentional health equity strategies addresses ethical concerns and ensures delivery of high-quality and safe care across diverse populations.
- Multidisciplinary teams play a critical role in enrollment through advocacy, patient engagement, and education, while prioritizing patient safety.



QUESTION & ANSWER

Cracking the Code: Mastering Clinical Trial Design

Lisa Janssen Carlson, PharmD, BCOP, DPLA

Manager, Investigational Drug Services

University of California, San Francisco UCSF Health System



CE CODES

Cracking the Code: Mastering Clinical Trial Design

